JAN-02-2004 08:21

BURNS DOANE SWECKER & MATHIS LLP

BURNS

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA REDWOOD SHORES, CALIFORNIA

DURHAM, NORTH CAROLINA

DOANE

BURNS DOANE

703 836 2021 P.01/03

REPLY TO: P.O. Box 1404

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1404

TELEPHONE: +1.703.836.6620

FACSIMILE:

+1.703.836.2021 (Group 3)

+1.703.836.0028 (Group 4)

DATE: January 2, 2004

RECIPIENT INFORMATION		SENDER INFORMA	SENDER INFORMATION					
To:	Michele M. Kidwell	From:	Jennifer Topmiller					
Voice Tel. No.:	703-305-2941	Voice Tel. No.:	703-838-6505					
Fax Tel. No.:	703-746-3383	Sent By:	Janice Fulmer					
Your Ref.:	U.S. Application No. 09/194,700	Our Ref.:	000515-141					
		Total Pages (Ind	d. Cover Page):	3				

U.S. Patent Application No. 09/194,700 - Urban WIDLUND RE:

MESSAGE:

Examiner Kidwell:

Per your request in our telephone conversation earlier this week, attached is an Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form in anticipation of our telephonic interview scheduled for Tuesday, January 6, 2004 at 10 am.

I look forward to speaking with you. Please do not hesitate to contact me if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Topmiller

NOTE: The information contained in this facsimile message is attorney-client privileged and contains confidential information intended only for the use of the person(s) named above and others expressly authorized to receive it. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this message is prohibited and you are asked to notify us immediately by telephone and to return this message to us by mell without convice it. to us by mail without copying it.

APPLICANT INITIATED INTERVIEW REQUEST FORM

Application No.: Attorney's Dkt. No.: Group Art Unit: Tentative Participant Proposed Date of In		1	First Named Examiner: Status of Appointer Topmille	olication:	Mid Fir Proposed	(2) (4) (Tim	e M. ejec Exa	Kidwetion aminer	Kidw 00	<u>x</u>	AM PM
Type of Interview Re Exhibit To Be Show If yes, provide brief	equested: n Or Demon		Telephonic	(2) Yes	Personal X	(3) No		Vide	o Cor	iference	•
ti you, promo and	•	19	SUES TO B	E DISCU	SSED						
Issues (Rej., Obj., e	tc.)	Claims/ Fig. #s		l Docume		D	iscu (ssed	Agre		ot Agreed
(2) T12 (enablement)		27-30 all ed	Miller et al., Blen et al.]	[[-	[]
Brief Description Concerning new m Concerning enable	of Argumer	nts to be F	. 07.00	be found	, at least, cover, (cor	on p	. 13, ed ¢	, II. 21 on 2nd	-36. page	e)	
Concerning enable	ment, above		41 12 1 1	-li-etien							
An interview was concerning or days NOTE: This form (see MPEP § 713, written record of this (37 C.F.R. § 1.133)	should be o 01). This ar s interview.	completed oplication v	by applicant vill not be del applicant is a	and subn	nitted to th	e ex	ami	ner in	adva icant's	nce of the	he interview to submit a
Applicant's / A	pplicants' Reg. No.	epresentat 50,435	n lle ive Signature			Exar	mine	 er / SP	E Sig	nature	

SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450



Brief Description of Arguments t be Pres nted (continued):

no reasoning was provided in the current Office Action to support a conclusion of non-enablement. M.P.E.P. § 2164.04 states that specific technical reasons must be supplied.

Concerning the 102/103 rejections over Miller et al. and Bien et al., Applicants intend to discuss that Miller et al. never mention the use of hydrophilic material, much less in the particular orientation described in the present claims. Rather, all that Miller et al. disclose is the use of water-permeable, hydrophobic material as a cover sheet with the periphery rendered water-impermeable in order to prevent leakage. The Examiner argues that because Miller discloses a blend of acrylic and rayon and rayon is allegedly hydrophilic that Miller et al. are disclosing the use of a hydrophilic cover sheet.

Moreover, Miller et al. state that the coversheet has a hydrophobic character so even if there was support for the proposition that generally rayon is hydrophilic, it is negated by Miller et al.'s characterization of the properties of the cover sheet - that is, that the coversheet is hydrophobic. Given the fact that Miller et al. propose the use of a hydrophobic coversheet, there is no motivation to modify this teaching to arrive at the presently claimed invention. The disclosure of Bien et al. does not remedy this deficiency.

SEND TO: Commissioner for Palents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450